Cracks in Installed Reinforced Concrete Pipe

“Some engineers insist that a crack in a concrete pipe in excess of 0.01-inch represents a failure or partial failure situation. Such a conclusion is utterly ridiculous and represents a disservice, not only to the concrete pipe industry, but taxpayers as well.”

This quote from Professor M.G. Spangler, a well respected authority and early pioneer in the design of concrete pipe, should be taken into consideration when designing, installing, inspecting, or funding a project using reinforced concrete pipe, (RCP). All parties involved should be aware of the insignificance of a 0.01-inch crack.

Reinforced concrete pipe, like other reinforced concrete structures, is designed to crack. It is well known that while concrete is very strong in compression, its tensile strength is so low that it is considered negligible in design. Therefore, RCP design accommodates the high compressive strength of concrete and the high tensile strength of steel. As load on the pipe increases, and the tensile strength of the concrete is exceeded, cracks will form as the tensile load is transferred to the steel. Typically, the cracks form a V-shape with the largest part of the crack at the surface. The presence of a 0.01-inch crack does not represent failure, but rather an indication that the concrete and reinforcement are working together, as intended.

The 0.01-inch crack criteria has been used as a service load design criteria for RCP for nearly 70 years. This criterion has served the industry well through the clear designation of a plant test protocol. It has also served the public well by conservatively ensuring that a strong and durable product is used in their buried infrastructure.

The 0.01-inch crack was never intended to determine the failure of installed RCP. This crack width was established by Professor W.J. Schlick of Iowa State University to establish the comparative strength of RCP, in a three-edge-bearing test by using a simple 0.01-inch thick leaf gauge to determine a measurable and definitive size crack. The three-edge-bearing test is a plant test that applies a bearing strip along the top of the pipe, and two closely spaced bearing strips along the bottom. Specifications for RCP require an ultimate load resistance that exceeds the required 0.01-inch crack strength, giving the designed pipe a significant factor of safety above the required service load. The 0.01-inch crack width has absolutely no relation to the size of a crack that
Cracks may appear larger in video inspection. The 0.04-inch crack shown is less than half the crack width of 0.10-inch accepted by Caltrans and AASHTO. Pictures 1, 2, and 3 shown as if viewing the crack standing, kneeling, or through a video inspection, respectively.

Professor Spangler noted his opinion on the durability of RCP as, “Cracks up to approximately 1/16-inch in width will not permit corrosion except under the most adverse conditions.”

In the CP Info - Effects of Cracks in Reinforced Concrete Culvert Pipe, a study performed in Los Angeles County on the Diamond Bar Culvert installed under 80 feet of fill in California, found that even with cracks 0.2-inch wide, structural integrity was maintained. These results should be reasonably representative of what would be expected in similar environments.

Furthermore, a phenomenon, known as autogenous healing often occurs between two surfaces of cracks in buried pipe. Autogenous healing is the ability of concrete to heal itself in the presence of moisture and air. This explains why the healing occurs in concrete pipe where moist conditions are higher than those of other concrete structures.

During this process, calcium carbonate, (a hard white substance), forms when moisture reacts with unhydrated cement powder and regenerates the curing process. This self-healing process creates a monolithic structure. In Ohio, the Department of Transportation developed a post construction inspection standard for installed pipe that requires nothing be done to a pipe with a crack width up to 0.06-inch, due to the autogenous healing that is expected to occur.

Video inspections are often employed to inventory existing systems and determine the acceptance of new installations due to recent developments in video imagery technology, OSHA confined space rules, and the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) rules. GASB radically changes how state and local governments must report their finances. Governments must perform condition assessments on all existing major infrastructure assets every three years. During these video inspections, cracks and the presence of autogenous healing may be evident. All too often an untrained inspector views a small crack in a post installation video inspection of a RCP to be a failure. This occurs most often because many of the cameras currently available for video inspections produce some distortion and unavoidably magnify hairline cracks. This causes the cracks to appear as though they are much larger, resulting in unnecessary repairs or replacements. It is important to know the intensity of magnification and how the magnified image appears in a video inspection. Recent technology has produced a calibration device that clearly indicates the actual size of the crack, resulting in an accurate inspection. Engineers, contractors and owners of pipelines should contact a professional who is familiar with the procedures involved in the inspection of RCP to ensure an accurate inspection.
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